1	Inhalable textile microplastic fibers impair airway epithelial growth
2	
3	Short title: Textile microplastic fibers impair organoid growth
4	
5	
6	F. van Dijk <sup>1,2</sup> , S. Song <sup>1,3</sup> , G.W.A van Eck <sup>1</sup> , X. Wu <sup>1,2</sup> , I.S.T. Bos <sup>1</sup> , D.H.A. Boom <sup>4</sup> , I.M.
7	Kooter <sup>4</sup> , D.C.J. Spierings <sup>5</sup> , R. Wardenaar <sup>5</sup> , M. Cole <sup>6</sup> , A. Salvati <sup>7</sup> , R. Gosens <sup>1,2</sup> , B.N.
8	Melgert <sup>1,2,*</sup>
9	
10	<sup>1</sup> Groningen Research Institute for Pharmacy, Department of Molecular Pharmacology,
11	University of Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands.
12	<sup>2</sup> Groningen Research Institute for Asthma and COPD, University Medical Center Groningen,
13	University of Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands.
14	<sup>3</sup> Groningen Research Institute for Pharmacy, Department of Chemical and Pharmaceutical
15	Biology, University of Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands.
16	<sup>4</sup> The Netherlands Organization for Applied Scientific Research, TNO, Utrecht, the
17	Netherlands.
18	<sup>5</sup> European Research Institute for the Biology of Ageing, University Medical Center
19	Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands.
20	<sup>6</sup> Plymouth Marine Laboratory, Plymouth, United Kingdom.
21	<sup>7</sup> Groningen Research Institute for Pharmacy, Department of Nanomedicine & Drug
22	Targeting, University of Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands.
23	
24	* Corresponding author:
25	Prof Dr Barbro N. Melgert
26	Groningen Research Institute of Pharmacy
27	Department of Molecular Pharmacology
28	University of Groningen
29	A. Deusinglaan 1
30	9713 AV Groningen
31	the Netherlands
32	b.n.melgert@rug.nl

#### 33 Abstract

34 Synthetic textiles shed fibers that accumulate indoors and this results in continuous 35 exposure when indoors. High exposure to microplastic fibers in nylon flock workers has been linked to the development of airway and interstitial lung disease, but the exact health 36 37 effects of microplastic fibers on the lungs are unknown. Here we determined effects of 38 polyester and nylon textile microplastic fibers on airway and alveolar epithelial cells using 39 human and murine lung organoids. We observed that particularly nylon microfibers had a negative impact on the growth and development of airway organoids. We demonstrated that 40 this effect was mediated by components leaking from nylon. Moreover, our data suggested 41 42 that microplastic textile fibers may especially harm the developing airways or airways 43 undergoing repair. Our results call for a need to assess exposure and inhalation levels in 44 indoor environments to accurately determine the actual risk of these fibers to human health. 45 46 47 Teaser

48 Airborne fibers shed from synthetic textiles, in particular nylon, can inhibit repair of the cells49 coating the airways

#### 51 Introduction

52 Plastic pollution is a pressing global concern and microplastics are a significant part of this 53 problem (1). High amounts of microplastics have been found in marine environments, air, soils, plants, and animals, which illustrates how omnipresent this relatively recent pollution 54 actually is (2). Microplastic pollution derives from personal care products, synthetic clothes, 55 and degradation of macroplastics (3, 4). Synthetic textile fibers are one of the most 56 prevalent types of microplastic waste observed, with an annual production of 60 million 57 metric tons, which equals 16% of the world's plastic pollution (1). These fibers are typically 58 composed of nylon or polyester and are released into the environment by wear and tear and 59 during washing and drying of garments (4-6). 60

The ubiquitous nature of microplastics in the environment inevitably leads to human 61 exposure, which can occur through two main routes (7, 8). Firstly, through ingestion of 62 63 contaminated food and water and secondly via inhalation. Microplastics have been reported 64 both in indoor and outdoor air, with levels indoors being 2-5 times higher as compared to 65 outdoors (9, 10). Whether or not microfibers can deposit in lung tissue largely depends on the aerodynamic diameter of the fibers (7, 11). Lung deposition is most efficiently achieved 66 with aerodynamic diameters between 1-10 um (12), however, these sizes are difficult to 67 68 quantify in environmental samples due to limitations of the analytical techniques (9, 13). Yet, plastic microfibers have been found in human lung tissue, suggesting inhalation does 69 70 indeed take place (14). Furthermore, several studies from workers in synthetic textile, flock 71 and (poly)vinyl chloride industries suggest that inhalation of such microfibers is harmful, as 72 around 30% of factory workers developed work-related airway and interstitial lung disease (15-23). Moreover, exposure to particulate matter in air pollution, also containing 73 74 microplastics (24-26), has been associated with higher risk of developing asthma and an 75 increase in asthma symptoms in areas with higher levels of particulate matter air pollution 76 (27-29).

Despite the potential capacity for microplastic fibers to contribute to respiratory diseases, the health effects are greatly understudied and information providing evidence of potential human health effects of inhaled microplastics is lacking (9, 30, 31). In the present study, we therefore explored whether textile microplastic fibers can cause damage to lung tissue. As epithelial cells are the first to come into contact with inhaled fibers, we investigated effects of polyester and nylon microfibers on lung epithelial proliferation, differentiation, and

83	repair processes. For this we used lung organoids that are grown from primary lung
84	epithelial progenitor cells with support of a lung fibroblast cell line (32, 33). The epithelial
85	progenitors can develop into organoids consisting of alveolar epithelial cells or organoids
86	consisting of airway epithelial cells with help of growth factors produced by fibroblasts. We
87	found that in particular nylon microfibers negatively impacted developing airway
88	organoids, while developing alveolar organoids and already developed organoids of both
89	types appeared to be less affected. This negative effect was caused by still unknown
90	leachates from nylon that particularly inhibit differentiation of airway epithelial cells. We
91	therefore call for assessment of exposure levels in indoor environments and actual lung
92	deposition to accurately determine the risk of these fibers to human health.

#### 94 **Results**

## 95 Characterization of reference microfibers

96 To produce reference textile fibers that resemble microplastics found in our indoor 97 environments, we used a method previous described by us to reproducibly generate fibers of 98 specific lengths (34). We particularly focused on polyester and nylon, because these are the 99 most abundant types of microplastics indoors (9, 35-38). As we spend the majority of our 00 time indoors, we may therefore be exposed most to these types of microplastics (39). Fibers 01 are commonly defined as having a length to diameter ratio of 3:1(40). The fibers we 02 produced had a median size of 15x52 µm for polyester and 12x31 µm for nylon (Table S1). 03 Using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) we found these fibers to be rod-shaped with a 04circular cross-section and had a smooth surface (Figure 1A and B). For our polyester fibers 05 energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) analysis confirmed the presence of carbon and oxygen (Figure S1A). The recorded micro-Fourier transform infrared (µFTIR) spectrum showed 06 07 characteristic absorbance peaks of polyester (Figure S1B). The EDX spectrum for nylon 08 confirmed the presence of carbon, nitrogen and oxygen (Figure S1C) and the µFTIR 09 spectrum showed characteristic nylon absorbance peaks (Figure S1D).



10 11

12

Figure 1. Morphology of reference microplastic fibers of standardized dimensions. Representative SEM micrographs of (A) polyester microfibers (15x52  $\mu$ m) and (B) nylon microfibers (12x31  $\mu$ m).

- 14
- 15

# 16 Nylon microfibers inhibited growth of murine and human lung organoids

17 Possible effects of microplastic fibers on lung epithelial proliferation, differentiation, and 18 repair processes were assessed in vitro using both murine and a human lung organoids. 19 Airways are lined with ciliated pseudostratified epithelium consisting of basal cells, ciliated 20 cells, and secretory cells like goblet cells and club cells, while alveoli consist of alveolar 21 epithelial cells type I and II (AECI and AECII). Basal cells and club cells have stem cell-22 like abilities and basal cells can give rise to all important epithelial cells lining the airways, while club cells can develop into ciliated cells, goblet cells, and AECII (41, 42). AECII can 23 24 behave as alveolar stem cells and can proliferate and develop into AECI (43). The lung 25 organoids we used in these studies self-assemble from the lung epithelial progenitor/stem 26 cells isolated from adult lung tissue, i.e. basal cells, club cells and AECII. The growth of 27 organoids from these progenitor cells is supported by proliferation and differentiation 28 enhancers produced by epithelial cells themselves and fibroblasts also present in our 3D 29 cultures (43).

We first assessed the effects of several doses of fibers on organoid growth ranging from 2000-5000 fibers per well (Figure S2). The fibers were dispersed into liquid Matrigel at the same time as the isolated epithelial cells and fibroblasts were added, after which the Matrigel solidifies and epithelial progenitors start to form organoids. Based on these results we continued with 5000 polyester or 5000 nylon fibers per well, equivalent to 122 µg/ml polyester and 39 µg/ml nylon, as this concentration had clear effects and was on the lower end of the spectrum of concentrations used in other studies (7).

37 Murine lung organoids develop into two distinct phenotypes, i.e. acetylated  $\alpha$ -tubulin-38 positive airway organoids (Figure 2A) and prosurfactant protein C-positive alveolar 39 organoids (Figure 2B). We assessed the effects of the two types of fibers on these two 40 structures separately. Exposure during 14 days to either polyester or nylon microfibers 41 resulted in significantly fewer organoids (Figure 2C) compared to untreated controls (Figure 2D and E). The effect of nylon on airway organoids was most profound of the two types of 42 43 plastic and the two types of structures. Moreover, both airway and alveolar organoids were 44 significantly smaller in size following nylon microfiber exposure as compared to untreated 45 controls (Figure 2F and G).



**Figure 2.** Effects of microplastic fibers on growth of murine lung organoids. Light microscopy images and fluorescence photographs of (A) acetylated  $\alpha$ -tubulin-positive airway organoids (red) and (**B**) prosurfactant protein C-positive alveolar organoids (green). Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (blue). (**C**) Representative light microscopy images of the different treatment conditions. Yellow arrows in the light microscopy images indicate airway organoids, whereas cyan arrows indicate alveolar organoids. (**D** and **E**) Quantification of the numbers and (**F** and **G**) quantification of the sizes of airway and alveolar lung organoids exposed for 14 days to no fibers, 5000 polyester, or 5000 nylon fibers (equivalent to 122 µg/ml polyester or 39 µg/ml polyester, n=12 independent isolations). Groups were compared using a Friedman test with Dunn's correction for multiple testing. P<0.05 was considered significant.

46 47

48

49

50

51 52

53

54

55

56

58 Similar results were observed in human lung organoids, that mainly develop into alveolar 59 organoids or mixed alveolar/airway organoids positive (Figure 3A). 14 day-exposure to 60 nylon microfibers resulted in significantly fewer human lung organoids (Figure 3B and C), whereas the effects of polyester on organoid growth were less profound. The size of the 62 organoids was not affected by the presence of nylon microfibers (Figure 3D).



63 Figure 3. Influence of microplastic fibers on growth of human lung organoids. (A) The morphology of the alveolar prosurfactant protein C-positive organoids (green) and mixed 64 65 acetylated  $\alpha$ -tubulin/prosurfactant protein C-positive organoids (orange) as shown by light and fluorescence microscopy. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (blue). (B) 66

67 Representative light microscopy images of all treatment conditions. Cyan arrows indicate

68 lung organoids. (C) Quantification of the numbers and  $(\mathbf{D})$  sizes of human lung organoids

69 following 14-day exposure to either no microfibers, 5000 polyester, or 5000 nylon fibers

(equivalent to 122  $\mu$ g/ml polyester or 39  $\mu$ g/ml polyester, n=7 independent isolations). 70

- 71 Groups were compared using a Friedman test with Dunn's correction for multiple testing.
- 72 *P*<0.05 was considered significant.

# 73 Environmental microplastic fibers impaired lung organoid growth as well

74 Having observed these effects after exposure to reference microfibers, we next performed 75 similar experiments using environmentally relevant polyester and nylon fibers on murine 76 lung organoids. These were made from white polyester and nylon fabrics purchased in a 77 local fabric store and cut to sizes approximating the reference fibers. First, we characterized morphology and chemical composition of these fibers. For polyester, we observed a more 78 79 heterogeneous size distribution as compared to the reference fibers, with fibers having a median size of 17x63 µm (Figure 4A, Table S2), but a comparable EDX and µFTIR 80 spectrum (Figure S3A and B). The nylon fibers had a disk-shaped appearance but similar 81 82 dimensions as the reference nylon fibers (median 57x20 µm, Table S2). The EDX analysis 83 revealed the expected C, N and O peaks for nylon (Figure S3C) and the µFTIR spectrum 84 showed characteristic nylon absorbance peaks (Figure S3D).



**Figure 4. Morphology of environmental microplastic fibers.** Representative SEM pictures of (A) polyester microfibers (17x63  $\mu$ m) and (B) nylon microfibers (57x20  $\mu$ m).

As observed with the reference fibers, exposure to environmental nylon microfibers resulted in markedly fewer lung organoids (Figure 5A, B and C) as well as smaller organoids (Figure 5D and E).

85

86

87



92 93 94 95

Figure 5: Effect of environmentally relevant textile fibers on growth of murine lung organoids. (A) Representative light microscopy images of all treatment conditions. Yellow arrows in the light microscopy images indicate airway organoids, whereas cyan arrows 96 indicate alveolar organoids. (**B** and **C**) Quantification of the numbers and (**D** and **E**) sizes 97 of airway and alveolar organoids (n=6 independent isolations) following 14-day exposure 98 to either no microfibers, 5000 polyester or 5000 nylon microfibers (approximately 99 equivalent to 189 µg/ml polyester or 531 µg/ml nylon). Groups were compared using a 00 Friedman test with Dunn's correction for multiple testing. P<0.05 was considered 01 significant.

## 02 Leaching nylon components caused a reduction in lung organoid growth

03 Since organoid growth was most affected by nylon, both reference and environmentally 04 relevant fibers, we investigated whether this inhibition was caused by the physical presence 05 of fibers nearby the cells or by leaching components from these nylon fibers. Therefore, we added nylon reference microfibers either on top of the Matrigel after it had set, thereby 06 07 preventing direct contact with the cells, or added leachate of these fibers to the medium 08 surrounding the Matrigel for 14 days. Interestingly, even when excluding physical contact 09 between the fibers and the cells or simply exposing the cells to medium with leachate, the 10 same effects on airway organoids were observed as when the forming organoids were directly exposed to the fibers. We found significantly fewer airway organoids in the 11 12 presence of nylon microfibers on top of the gel or their leachate (Figure 6A and B) 13 compared to having the fibers inside the Matrigel. The number of alveolar organoids, on the 14 other hand, was unaffected (fibers on top) or even induced (leachate, Figure 6A and C) 15 compared to having the fibers inside the Matrigel. Additionally, the size of these airway 16 organoids was smaller as compared to untreated control organoids (Figure 6D), while only 17 slightly inhibiting the size of the alveolar organoids (Figure 6E). These data suggest that 18 specifically airway epithelial growth is inhibited by components leaching from nylon 19 microplastics.



21 Figure 6. Impact of nylon reference microfibers and their leaching components on 22 growth of murine lung organoids. (A) Representative light microscopy images of all 23 treatment conditions. Yellow arrows in the light microscopy images indicate airway 24 organoids, whereas cyan arrows indicate alveolar organoids. (**B** and **C**) Quantification of 25 the numbers and (**D** and **E**) sizes of airway and alveolar organoids following either direct 26 exposure to 5000 nylon microfibers or indirect exposure to nylon by adding 5000 27 microfibers (equivalent to 39  $\mu$ g/ml nylon) on top of the Matrigel or by adding nylon 28 leachate to the culture medium (n=6 independent isolations). Groups were compared using 29 a Friedman test with Dunn's correction for multiple testing. P<0.05 was considered 30 significant.

31

32 The strong effects observed with the leachate suggested that some components and/or 33 degradation products may leak and/or form during fiber ageing at 37C. Thus in order to 34 determine the chemical identity of the components leaching from nylon reference microfibers we used mass spectrometry analysis. This revealed high concentrations of 35 cyclic nylon oligomers (mono-, di- and trimers) in the leachate of nylon microfibers (Figure 36 S4A), which are known to develop as by-products during the production of nylon (44). 37 38 However, when exposing murine lung organoids to different concentrations of these 39 isolated oligomers separately or in combination, we observed no effects on either number or size of organoids (Figure S4B-E showing the highest concentration that has been tested). 40 41 These data suggest that other components in nylon leachate are causing the inhibitory 42 effects on organoid growth. Recent work by Sait and Sørensen and colleagues showed that 43 the most abundant chemicals leaching from nylon are bisphenol A and benzophenone-3 (45, 44 46). However, we could not detect these in our leachate, suggesting that if they are present, 45 they are so in minute quantities. Initial experiments incubating organoids with different 46 concentrations of bisphenol A or benzophenone-3 did not show effects on organoid growth 47 of either of them suggesting they are indeed not the culprits in our leachate (data not 48 shown).

49

# 50 Leaching nylon components mainly affected developing organoids

51 As our experimental set-up specifically studied effects of microplastic fibers on developing 52 organoids, we next studied whether already-developed, mature organoids were also affected 53 by nylon microplastics. We therefore exposed organoids to nylon reference microfibers 54 during organoid formation as before (14-day incubation) and we additionally exposed fully 55 developed 14-day organoids to microfibers on top of the Matrigel or to nylon leachate for an additional 7 days. Interestingly, in contrast to the strong effects observed on developing 56 57 organoids, we found that the compounds leaching from nylon had no effects on alreadydeveloped organoids (Figure 7A), as reflected by unchanged numbers of organoids (Figure 58 59 7B and C) and unchanged sizes (Figure 7D and E). This suggests that these nylon leachates 60 are mostly harmful to differentiation of epithelial progenitors, but do not kill fully 61 differentiated epithelial cells.



Figure 7. Effects of nylon reference fiber leachate on already-developed lung organoids. (A) Representative light microscopy images of all treatment conditions. Yellow arrows in the light microscopy images indicate airway organoids, whereas cyan arrows indicate alveolar organoids. (**B** and **C**) Quantification of the numbers and (**D** and **E**) sizes of airway and alveolar organoids following exposure to no or 5000 nylon microfibers (equivalent to 68 39 µg/ml nylon) for 14 or 21 days. A set of other organoids developed without treatment for 69 14 days and were exposed to nylon by adding 5000 microfibers (equivalent to 39  $\mu$ g/ml nylon) on top of the Matrigel or by adding nylon leachate to the culture medium for another 70 71 7 days (n=6 independent isolations). Groups were compared using a Kruskal-Wallis test 72 with Dunn's correction for multiple testing. P < 0.05 was considered significant.

# Exposure to nylon inhibited epithelial development pathways and stimulated expression of ribosome components

76 To better understand the mechanisms behind the observed effects on the growth of airway organoids, we performed bulk RNA-sequencing (RNAseq) analysis on epithelial cells and 77 78 fibroblasts resorted from organoid cultures exposed to two different concentrations of nylon 79 fibers (2000 or 5000 fibers) or not. The condition of 2000 fibers was added because the 80 effect of 5000 fibers on airway epithelial development was already profound and we wanted 81 to investigate more subtle changes. However, both conditions had an enormous impact on 82 epithelial gene expression as depicted by the volcano plots (Figure 8A-D). Exposure to 83 2000 nylon fibers (equivalent to 16 µg/ml nylon) resulted in 16455 transcripts being 84 differentially expressed at least two-fold compared to nonexposed controls, with an q value 85 <0.05 (p value corrected for the false discovery rate), with most being downregulated (Figure 8A and C). Exposure to 5000 nylon fibers (equivalent to 39 µg/ml nylon) resulted in 86 87 39395 transcripts being differentially expressed at least two-fold compared to nonexposed 88 controls, with most being upregulated (Figure 8B and D).

89 To reduce the number of transcripts, we then selected only those transcripts that had an 90 average basemean expression of at least 10 and were significantly (q value < 0.05) up or 91 downregulated in both exposure conditions of 2000 and 5000 nylon fibers compared to 92 nonexposed controls. This resulted in 10764 transcripts that were differentially expressed 93 compared to nonexposed controls, with 5522 being downregulated and 5242 being upregulated. The downregulated transcripts were then sorted on the lowest q value for 94 95 exposure to 2000 fibers and the upregulated transcripts were sorted on the lowest q value 96 for exposure to 5000 fibers and the top 500 genes of each were used for pathway analysis 97 using Metascape (47).

The top pathways identified for downregulated genes following nylon exposure were highly
enriched for epithelial development and function (figure 8E-F), while the top pathways
identified for upregulated genes were highly enriched for mRNA translation and protein
synthesis (figure 8G-H).

03





05

06 Figure 8: RNAseq analysis of epithelial cells exposed to nylon or not. (A) Volcano plot of differentially expressed genes by epithelial cells exposed to 2000 nylon fibers (equivalent to 07 08 16 µg/ml nylon) or not. (B) Volcano plot of differentially expressed genes by epithelial cells 09 exposed to 5000 nylon fibers (equivalent to 39 µg/ml nylon) or not. Upregulated genes are 10 marked in red, downregulated genes in blue. Genes were selected with thresholds of fold 11

12 2000 nylon fibers (equivalent to 16  $\mu$ g/ml nylon) or not. (**D**) Unsupervised clustering heat map of epithelial cells exposed to 5000 nylon fibers (equivalent to 39  $\mu$ g/ml nylon) or not. 13 14 (E) Metascape bar graphs of top 10 nonredundant enrichment clusters of genes downregulated by exposure to nylon ordered based on statistical significance (p value). (F) 15 16 Metascape enrichment network visualization showing the intra-cluster and inter-cluster 17 similarities of enriched terms of genes downregulated by exposure to nylon, up to ten terms per cluster. Cluster annotations colors are shown in bar graph of panel E. (G) Metascape 18 19 bar graphs of top 10 nonredundant enrichment clusters of genes upregulated by exposure to 20 nylon ordered based on statistical significance (p value). (H) Metascape enrichment 21 network visualization showing the intra-cluster and inter-cluster similarities of enriched 22 terms of genes upregulated by exposure to nylon, up to ten terms per cluster. Cluster 23 annotations colors are shown in bar graph of panel E. 2k: 2000 fibers; 5k: 5000 fibers.

25 We then investigated expression of individual genes in the top 5 enriched pathways for up and downregulated genes in more detail (Figures 8E-H). The top 5 enriched pathways for 26 27 downregulated genes were type I hemidesmosome assembly, formation of cornified 28 envelope, cell-cell adhesion, epithelial cell differentiation, and epithelial cell proliferation, 29 while the top 5 pathways for upregulated genes were SRP-dependent cotranslational protein 30 targeting to membrane, neutrophil degranulation, regulation of protein complex assembly, negative regulation of protein complex assembly, and negative regulation of cellular 31 32 component organization.

33 We first investigated the downregulated genes and many of them represent important epithelial populations in the lung. We therefore investigated genes associated with specific 34 epithelial populations (listed in table 1). The expression of these genes correlated well with 35 our organoid findings that airway epithelial cell growth was most affected by exposure to 36 37 nylon fibers, while alveolar epithelial cell growth was less affected (Figure 9). Both AECI 38 and AEC II genes (Figure 9A) were only marginally lower expressed after exposure to 39 nylon while most genes for basal cells (Figure 9B), ciliated cells (Figure 9C), club cells and 40 goblet cells (Figure 9D) were expressed at significantly lower levels compared to controls 41 with two noticeable exceptions: ciliated cell marker *Tuba1a* and club cell marker *Scgb1a1*, 42 that were expressed at significantly higher levels compared to nonexposed controls. 43 Proliferation markers like proliferation marker protein 67 (*Mki67*), forkhead box protein M1 44 (Foxm1), and polo-like kinase 1 (Plk1, Figure 9E) confirmed this general lack of

- 45 proliferation in epithelial cells as all three were expressed at lower levels in a dose
  - dependent manner after exposure to nylon fibers. Expression of genes for signaling
- 47 molecules essential for epithelial growth and development were impressively and dose-
- dependently downregulated by nylon exposure as well (Figure 9F), including Notch1 and 48
- Notch2 and their ligands Jagged 1 (Jag1) and 2 (Jag2) (48-50), Bmp4 and Bmp7 (51-53), 49
- Wnt4 and Wnt7a (54, 55), and the receptor for hepatocyte growth factor, Met. The lower 50
- expression of basal cell-specific markers and essential factors that are needed for 51
- differentiation of other cell types like ciliated and goblet cells may explain why the growth 52 of in particular airway organoids was inhibited most by nylon. 53
- 54

55

46

Cell type	Protein name	Gene name
Epithelial cells present in airways		
Basal cells	Transformation-related protein 63	Trp63
	Keratin 5	Krt5
	Integrin alpha-6	Itga6
	Nerve growth factor receptor	Ngfr
Ciliated epithelial cells	Forkhead box J1	Foxj1
	Serine/threonine kinase 11	Stk11
	Acetylated alpha tubulin	Tubala
Goblet cells	Mucin 5 subtype B	Muc5b
	Mucin subtype AC	Muc5ac
Club cells	Secretoglobin family 1A member 1	Scgb1a1
	BPI fold containing family A member 1	Bpifa1
Epithelial cells present in alveoli		
Alveolar epithelial cells	Homeodomain-only protein homeobox	Hopx
type I (AECI)	Advanced glycosylation end-product	Ager
	specific receptor	
Alveolar epithelial cells	Surfactant protein C	Sftpc
type II (AECII)	Surfactant protein B	Sftpb

**Table 1:** Markers associated with different epithelial populations in lung tissue.

- 56

57 To exclude the possibility that these effects on epithelial cells were the result of a decreased 58 support function from fibroblasts, for instance by nylon selectively killing fibroblasts or 59 inhibiting the expression of important growth factors, we separately analyzed the resorted 60 fibroblast fraction for expression of proliferation genes and important growth factors, i.e. Mki67, Foxm1, Plk1, fibroblast growth factors 2, 7, and 10 (Fgf2, Fgf7, and Fgf10), Wnt2, 61 Wnt5a, and hepatocyte growth factor (*Hgf*) (33). None of these genes were negatively 62 63 affected in fibroblasts after exposure to nylon fibers compared to untreated controls and 64 expression of most actually went up slightly (Figure S5).

65	The genes most prominently upregulated after exposure to nylon fibers were mostly
66	encoding for ribosomal proteins, with ribosomal protein L28 (Rpl28), L31 (Rpl31), and L38
67	(Rpl38) being most profoundly upregulated (Figure 9G). Ribosomal proteins are a large
68	family of proteins and essential parts of ribosomes translating mRNA to protein. Recent
69	data has shown that heterogeneity in ribosomal protein composition within ribosomes
70	makes them selective for translating subpools of transcripts (56). For instance, Rpl38 has
71	been shown to regulate translation of the homeobox (Hox) genes, that are key in anatomical
72	development (57). We therefore also investigated the expression of all Hox genes and some
73	specific members of this family involved in lung epithelial development in more detail
74	(Figure 9H) (58, 59). After exposure to nylon fibers, expression of Hox family members
75	was significantly higher and this pattern was seen for highlighted members Hoxa4, Hoxa5,
76	Hoxc9, and Hoxb3 as well.



## 79 *Figure 9: Expression profiles of individual genes from the pathway analyses. Genes*

- shown were significantly differentially expressed in epithelial cells isolated from organoids
- 81 exposed to 2000 (2k) or 5000 (5k) nylon fibers compared to untreated controls according to
- 82 *a false discovery rate of* q < 0.05 (n = 4 *independent isolations*). *The following genes in the*
- 83 following conditions were not significantly different: 2k nylon: Hopx, Ager, Sftpc, Sftpb,
- 84 Stk11, Scgb1a1, Bmp4, Notch2, Hoxa4; 5k nylon: Hopx, Ager, Plk1.
- 85 (A) Genes highly expressed by alveolar epithelial cells type I (AECI) and type II (AECII).
- 86 (B) Genes highly expressed in basal cells. (C) Genes highly expressed in ciliated cells. (D)
- 87 Genes highly expressed in club cells and goblet cells. (E) Genes associated with
- 88 proliferation. (F) Genes encoding factors important for epithelial development. (G) Genes
- 89 encoding ribosomal proteins. (H) Genes encoding Hox family genes.
- 90

80

91

## 92 **Discussion**

93 Recent reports have shown that man-made fibers are ubiquitously present in indoor air (9. 94 35-38). It is estimated that approximately 30% of those indoor fibers are of plastic origin, 95 particularly from textiles (9, 35-38). The lungs are continuously exposed to this airborne microplastic pollution (60), but the consequences of common household exposure on our 96 97 lungs are unclear. In our present work, we found that both polyester and nylon microfibers negatively affected the growth and development of human and murine lung organoids, with 98 99 nylon being the most harmful. Already established lung organoids were not affected and therefore our results may be of particular importance for young children with developing 00 01 airways and for people undergoing high levels of epithelial repair, such as people with respiratory diseases. 02

03

04 Nylon was found to be the most consistently harmful for growth of airway organoids and was less inhibitory for growth of alveolar organoids, while polyester affected both types 05 06 equally but less profoundly than nylon. This was the case for our reference fibers as well as 07 environmentally relevant fibers made from fabrics purchased in a local fabric store. The 08 gene expression analysis also confirmed that growth of alveolar organoids was affected less 09 by nylon exposure and even appeared *induced* after treatment with leachate or lower 10 numbers of nylon fibers. The explanation for this finding may be found in the downregulation of Notch signaling pathway members by nylon fibers. Multiple studies have 11

12 shown that Notch signaling is required for development of airway epithelial cells, most 13 specifically goblet cells, whereas disruption of this signaling boosts alveolar epithelial 14 development (*61, 62*). Both *Notch1* and *Notch2*, as well as their ligands *Jag1* and *Jag2* were 15 expressed at significantly lower levels after nylon treatment, suggesting disruption of Notch 16 signaling may be responsible for the divergent effect nylon has on airway versus alveolar 17 epithelial growth.

18 The Notch pathway, incidentally, is also important for the development of club cells (63). 19 Morimoto and colleagues showed that *Notch2* was involved in the decision between club 20 cell or ciliated cell development. Interestingly, the gene expression data for club cells and 21 ciliated cells were somewhat ambiguous, with important markers for these cell types having 22 higher expression (Scgb1a1 for club cells and Tub1a1 for ciliated cells) and others having 23 lower expression (Bpifal for club cells and Foxil and Stk11 for ciliated cells) after nylon 24 exposure. This may suggest that the lower expression of Notch2 may be hampering the cell 25 fate decision between club and ciliated cells in some way, resulting in improperly 26 differentiated cell types. In combination with the inhibited development of basal epithelial 27 cells and goblet cells, this altered airway epithelial differentiation may explain the bronchiolitis found in nylon flock workers and rats exposed to nylon (64-66). 28

30 Our results demonstrated that the negative effect of nylon fibers on development of particularly airway organoids was caused by components leaching from these fibers. As the 31 32 most abundant components in this leachate, the cyclic oligomers, were not responsible for 33 this effect, we used RNAseq analysis to look for signatures of other components. Although 34 we could not detect bisphenol A in our leachate, it is of interest to note that exposure of fruit flies to bisphenol A specifically upregulated ribosome-associated genes (67), similar to 35 36 what we found in our study. The association of these *Rpl* genes with the *Hox* family genes is of particular interest for lung epithelial development. Rpl38 was one of the three most 37 38 upregulated *Rpl* genes by nylon and was shown to interact with a specific subset of *Hox* 39 genes including Hoxa4, Hoxa5, and Hoxb3 (57). These have all been associated with 40 epithelial differentiation, with Hoxa5 taking a center stage in goblet versus club cell 41 differentiation (58, 59). Boucherat and colleagues showed that loss of Hoxa5 drove 42 epithelial differentiation towards goblet cell differentiation at the expense of club cell 43 differentiation and Scgb1a1 expression (58). Remarkably, Hoxa5 was expressed at 44 significantly higher levels in our dataset in a dose-dependent manner after exposure to

nylon and we also found a matching dose-dependent increase in *Scgb1a1* and decrease in *Muc5ac* and *Muc5b* expression. Taken together our data suggest that component (s) in
nylon leachate may be skewing differentiation of epithelial progenitors away from airway
epithelial cells possibly through changes in expression of *Hoxa5* and/or *Notch*. Which
components or combinations of components are responsible for these effects is still an open
question.

51

52 A strength of using lung organoids is the opportunity to directly translate murine findings to human lung epithelial repair (32, 33, 43, 68, 69). Using cells isolated from human lungs we 53 54 have shown human epithelial cells respond similarly to polyester and nylon fibers, 55 demonstrating our results are relevant for human epithelial differentiation and growth too. 56 Despite this advantage, lung organoids are a relatively simple model of lung tissue and lack 57 the immune and endothelial compartment present in vivo. Especially having the immune compartment present could alter how lung tissue responds to these microplastic fibers. For 58 59 example, innate immune cells like macrophages are also one of the first cells to come into 60 contact with microplastic fibers following inhalation and macrophages are known to respond strongly to inhaled particles and fibers (70) and are also important for lung repair 61 62 (71). It is therefore recommended to include lung macrophages in lung organoid cultures in 63 future studies as was done before by Choi et al. (72). This way, a more comprehensive view on the interaction between pivotal lung cells and microplastics can be obtained. 64

65

66 The implication of our results for the human population is of high relevance. It is important 67 to note that similar to the high occupational exposure in industry workers, the microplastic 68 fiber doses as used in our *in vitro* experiments are much higher than daily exposure for most 69 people. Previous studies estimated that a male person with light activity may inhale around 272 microplastic particles per day based on air sampling using a breathing thermal manikin 70 71 (60). The total surface of airway epithelial cells is  $2471 \text{ cm}^2$  for human lungs (73), resulting in 0.1 particle/cm<sup>2</sup>. Our murine cultures had an average of 70 airway organoids with an 72 73 average size of 320  $\mu$ m, resulting in a total surface of 0.2 cm<sup>2</sup> that was incubated with 5000 74 fibers or 25,000 fibers/cm<sup>2</sup>. For our calculations we assumed these particles/fibers will get 75 trapped onto airway epithelial cells. Generally particles or fibers of sizes between 10 and 76 100 µm will deposit onto epithelial cells covering airway walls (40). Only fibers with a 77 diameter smaller than 3 µm have the ability to penetrate deep into the lungs and reach the

78 alveoli. Our fiber sizes were limited by the availability of polyester and nylon filaments of 79 standardized small diameters and therefore were not small enough for alveolar deposition. 80 However, airway trapping can still cause local harm as we found nylon fibers to inhibit airway epithelial differentiation most. It will therefore be crucial to study in more detail 81 82 how many and what kind of fibers deposit in which regions of the lungs and what fraction 83 can still be cleared. In addition, we need to gather more information about exposure levels 84 in indoor environments to assess real-life inhalation levels. A limitation here is the detection of microplastics, as smaller particles might escape current detection methods (10, 74). 85 Especially our finding that epithelial differentiation and repair mechanisms are affected 86 87 most by microplastics exposure, suggests airborne microplastics may be most harmful to 88 young children with developing airways and to people undergoing high levels of epithelial 89 repair. These could be people with a chronic lung disease or even healthy individuals suffering from a seasonal respiratory virus infection. 90

92 In conclusion, with the ongoing and growing use of plastics, potential associated health 93 problems in the human population may also increase. The results of the present study 94 strongly encourage to look in more detail at both hazard of and exposure to microplastic 95 fibers, and outcomes of these experiments will be valuable to advise organizations such as the World Health Organization and Science Advice for Policy by European Academies who 96 97 have recently stated that more research is urgently needed (30, 31). Importantly, future 98 research should focus on examining the presence and number of such fibers both in our 99 indoor environment and in human lung tissue, to better estimate the actual risk of these 00 fibers to human health.

01

- 02
- 03

#### 04 Materials and Methods

#### 05

## 06 **Production of microfibers and leachate**

07 *Reference microfibers and leachate* 

Microfibers of standardized dimensions were produced as described before (34). In short, 08 09 polyester and nylon fibers (both Goodfellow, UK) with filament diameters of 14±3.5 µm and  $10\pm 2.5$  µm respectively were aligned by wrapping them around a custom-made spool, 10 coated with a thin layer of crvo compound (KP-CrvoCompound, VWR International B.V., 11 12 PA, USA) and frozen. Aligned fibers were cut into similar length parts (~2 cm) using a scalpel (Swann-Morton, UK) and moulded onto a compact block that was oriented 13 perpendicular to the base of a cryomicrotome (Microm HM 525, Thermo Fisher Scientific, 14 MA, USA). Microfibers were cut at lengths of 50 µm for polyester and 30 µm for nylon, 15 16 after which the fibers were thawed, washed with water through a 120 µm filter (Merck Millipore, MA, USA) to remove miscut fibers and contaminants, collected by vacuum 17 filtration using 8 µm polycarbonate membrane filters (Sterlitech, WA, USA) and stored dry 18 at -20°C. 19

Nylon leachate was produced by incubation of nylon reference microfibers in phosphate
buffered saline (PBS) for 7 days at 37°C in the dark, followed by filtration using a 0.2 μm
syringe filter (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, UK). The leachate was stored at -20°C until
further use.

24 Environmental microfibers

25 Environmental polyester and nylon textile microfibers were prepared from commercially available pure fabrics. White polyester fabric was washed at 40°C in a washing machine 26 27 (Samsung, South Korea) and dried in a tumble dryer (Whirlpool, MI, US). Fibers with an estimated filament diameter of 15 um were collected on the filter of the tumble dryer and 28 subsequently frozen with cryo compound and sectioned into lengths of 50 µm using a 29 30 cryomicrotome. White nylon fabric (estimated filament diameter of 40 µm) was cut into small squares, stacked, frozen with cryo compound, and cut into lengths of 12 µm. All 31 32 microfibers were thawed, washed with water through a 120 µm filter, collected by vacuum filtration (8 µm filter) and finally stored at -20°C. 33

## 35 Characterization of microfibers and leachate

#### 36 Scanning electron microscopy

Samples were prepared for scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analysis on an aluminium
sample holder using adhesive carbon-coated tape. Excessive microfibers were removed
using pressurized air, after which the samples were sputter-coated with 10 nm of gold.
Images were obtained using a JSM-6460 microscope (Jeol, Japan) at an acceleration voltage
of 10 kV.

## 42 Dimensions

43 Digital photomicrographs were captured at 200× magnification using a Nikon Eclipse

determined using NIS-Elements AR 4.00.03 software.

- 44 TS100 inverted microscope coupled to a Nikon Digital Sight DS-U3 microscope camera
- 45 controller (both Japan), after which microfiber diameters and lengths (median of 200) were
- 46 47

# 48 Ethics

## 49 Animal experiments

50 The experimental protocol for the use of mice for epithelial cell isolations was approved by 51 the Animal Ethical Committee of the University of Groningen (The Netherlands) and all 52 experiments were performed according to strict governmental and international guidelines 53 on animal experimentation. C57BL/6 mice (8-14 weeks) were bred at the Central Animal 54 Facility of the University Medical Center Groningen (UMCG) (IVD 15303-01-004). 55 Animals received ad libitum normal diet with a 12 h light/dark cycle.

## 56 *Human lung tissue*

57 Histologically normal lung tissue was anonymously donated by individuals with COPD 58 (n=6) or without COPD (n=1) undergoing surgery for lung cancer and not objecting to the 59 use of their tissue. COPD patients included ex- and current- smoking individuals with 60 GOLD stage I-IV disease (GOLD I=1, GOLD II=2, GOLD IV=3). Subjects with other lung diseases such as asthma, cystic fibrosis, or interstitial lung diseases were excluded. The 61 study protocol was consistent with the Research Code of the University Medical Center 62 Groningen (UMCG) and Dutch national ethical and professional guidelines 63 64 (www.federa.org). Sections of lung tissue of each patient were stained with a standard 65 haematoxylin and eosin staining and checked for abnormalities by a lung pathologist.

## 66 Cell cultures

67	Mouse lung fibroblasts (CCL-206, ATCC, Wesel, Germany) or human lung fibroblasts
68	(MRC5, ATCC, CCL-171) were cultured in 1:1 DMEM (Gibco, MD, USA) and Ham's F12
69	(Lonza, Switzerland) or Ham's F12 respectively, both supplemented with 10% heat
70	inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS, GE Healthcare Life Sciences), 100 U/ml penicillin and
71	100 $\mu$ g/ml streptomycin, 2 mM L-glutamine and 2.5 $\mu$ g/ml amphotericin B (all Gibco).
72	Fibroblasts were cultured at $37^{\circ}$ C under $5\%$ CO <sub>2</sub> and humidified conditions. For use in
73	organoid cultures, near-confluent cells were proliferation-inactivated by incubation with 10
74	$\mu$ g/ml mitomycin C (Sigma-Aldrich, MO, USA) in cell culture medium for 2 hours, after
75	which they were washed in PBS, and left in normal medium for at least 1 hour before
76	trypsinizing and counting.

77

## 78 Lung epithelial cell isolation

## 79 Mouse lung epithelial cell isolation

Epithelial cells were isolated from lungs of mice using antibody-coupled magnetic beads 80 81 (microbeads) as described before (32, 33, 68). In short, mice were sacrificed under 82 anaesthesia, after which the lungs were flushed with 0.9% NaCl and instilled with 75 83 caseinolytic units/1.5 ml dispase (Corning, NY, USA). After 45 minutes of incubation at 84 room temperature, the lobes (excluding trachea and extrapulmonary airways) were 85 homogenized in DMEM containing 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 ug/ml streptomycin and 40 µg/ml DNase1 (PanReac AppliChem, Germany), washed in DMEM (containing penicillin, 86 87 streptomycin and DNase1), and the digested tissue was passed through a 100 µm cell strainer. The suspension was incubated for 20 minutes at 4°C with anti-CD31 and anti-88 89 CD45 microbeads in MACS buffer and magnetically sorted using LS columns. The CD31-/CD45- flow-through was incubated for 20 minutes at 4°C with anti-CD326 90 91 (EpCAM) microbeads in MACS buffer, after which purified epithelial lung cells were obtained by positive selection using LS columns. CD326-positive epithelial cells were 92 93 resuspended in CCL206 fibroblast medium, counted and seeded into Matrigel immediately 94 after isolation with equal numbers of CCL206 fibroblasts, as described below. All materials 95 were purchased at Miltenyi Biotec (Germany) unless stated otherwise.

## 97 Human lung epithelial cell isolation

98 Human lung epithelial cells were isolated from lung tissue specimens obtained from 99 patients. Peripheral lung tissue was minced and dissociated in DMEM-containing enzymes (Multi Tissue Dissociation Kit) at 37°C using a gentleMACS Octo Dissociator. The cell 00 01 suspension was filtered (70  $\mu$ m and 35  $\mu$ m nylon strainer, respectively) prior to 20-minute 02 incubation at 4°C with anti-CD31 and anti-CD45 microbeads in MACS buffer. The 03 CD31-/CD45- fraction was obtained by negative selection using an AutoMACS. Epithelial cells were then isolated by positive selection after 20-minute incubation at 4°C with anti-04 05 CD326 (EpCAM) microbeads in MACS buffer. Human EpCAM+ cells were resuspended in 1:1 DMEM and Ham's F12, supplemented with 10% heat inactivated FBS, 100 U/ml 06 07 penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin, 2 mM L-glutamine and 2.5 µg/ml amphotericin B, 08 counted and seeded into Matrigel immediately after isolation with equal numbers of MRC5 09 fibroblasts. All materials were purchased at Miltenyi Biotec unless stated otherwise.

10

#### 11 Lung organoid cultures

12 Lung organoids were grown as previously described with minor modifications (32, 33, 68). 13 For mouse lung organoids, 10,000 EpCAM+ cells and 10,000 CCL206 fibroblasts were seeded, and for human lung organoids, 5,000 EpCAM+ cells and 5,000 MRC5 fibroblasts 14 15 were seeded in 100 µl growth factor-reduced Matrigel matrix (Corning) diluted 1:1 in DMEM:Ham's F-12 1:1 containing 10% FBS, 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml 16 streptomycin, 2 mM L-glutamine and 2.5 µg/ml amphotericin B into transwell 24-well cell 17 18 culture plate inserts (Corning). Organoids were cultured in DMEM:Ham's F-12 1:1 19 supplemented with 5% FBS, 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin, 2 mM Lglutamine, 2.5 µg/ml amphotericin B, 4 ml/l insulin-transferrin-selenium (Gibco), 25 µg/l 20 21 recombinant mouse (Sigma-Aldrich) or human epithelial growth factor (EGF, Gibco) and 300 µg/l bovine pituitary extract (Sigma-Aldrich). During the first 48h of culture, 10 µM Y-22 23 27632 (Axon Medchem, the Netherlands) was added to the medium.

A titration curve for polyester or nylon microfibers was made with 2000, 3000, 4000 or 5000 fibers per well corresponding to approximately 49, 73, 98 and 122 µg/ml of polyester fibers or 16, 23, 31, and 39 µg/ml of nylon fibers. For all other experiments, 5000 polyester or nylon reference (equivalent to 122 µg/ml of polyester or 39 µg/ml of nylon) or environmental microfibers (equivalent to 122 µg/ml of polyester or 39 µg/ml of nylon and) 29 were used per condition. Fibers were in direct contact with developing organoids during 14 days by mixing them with Matrigel and cells prior to seeding in the insert, except for those 30 experiments studying effects of leaching nylon components. In those cases, 5000 polyester 31 and nylon reference fibers were added on top of the organoids, thereby excluding physical 32 33 contact between the microfibers and the developing organoids, or equivalent amounts of 34 fiber leachate were added to the medium during 14 days of organoid culture. For testing the effects of nylon oligomers, concentrations between 26.8 ng/ml and 53.6 µg/ml were used; 35 36 the latter concentration being twice as high as the used fiber concentrations (5000 fibers per condition). Oligomers were synthesized and characterized as described in the 37 38 supplementary materials and methods. All organoid cultures were maintained for 14 to 21 39 days at 37 °C under 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and humidified conditions. Medium was refreshed 3 times per 40 week.

Organoid colony forming efficiency was quantified by manually counting the total number
of organoids per well after 14 or 21 days of culturing using a light microscope at 100×
magnification. For mouse organoids, a distinction was made between airway and alveolar
organoids, whereas for human organoids only one organoid phenotype was distinguished.
The diameter of the organoids was measured using a light microscope (Nikon, Eclipse Ti),
only including organoids larger than 50 µm in diameter, with a maximum of 50 organoids
per phenotype per well.

48

49

# Immunofluorescence staining

50 Organoid cultures in Matrigel were washed with PBS, fixed in ice-cold 1:1 acetone and 51 methanol (both Biosolve Chimie, France) for 15 minutes at -20°C and washed again with 52 PBS after which aspecific antibody-binding was blocked for 2 hours in 5% bovine serum 53 albumin (BSA, Sigma-Aldrich). Organoids were incubated overnight at 4°C with the 54 primary antibodies (mouse anti-acetylated α-tubulin (Santa Cruz, TX, USA) and rabbit anti-55 prosurfactant protein C (Merck, Germany)) both diluted 1:200 in 0.1% BSA and 0.1% Triton (Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS. Next, after extensive but gentle washing with PBS, 56 57 organoids were incubated with the appropriate Alexa-conjugated secondary antibody for 2 58 hours at room temperature (Alexa Fluor 488 donkey anti rabbit IgG and Alexa Fluor 568 59 donkey anti mouse IgG, both Thermo Fisher Scientific) diluted 1:200 in 0.1% BSA and 60 0.1% Triton in PBS. Organoid cultures were washed with PBS, excised using a scalpel, 61 mounted on glass slides (Knittel, Germany) using mounting medium containing DAPI

(Sigma-Aldrich) and covered with a cover glass (VWR). Digital photomicrographs were captured at 200× magnification using a DM4000b fluorescence microscope and LAS V4.3 software (both Leica, Germany).

65

62

63

64

# 66 Isolation of epithelial cells and fibroblasts from organoid cultures

67 200,000 mouse EpCAM+ primary cells and 200,000 CCL206 fibroblasts (n=4 independent 68 isolations) were seeded in 1 ml Matrigel diluted 1:1 in DMEM containing 10% FBS in 6-69 well plates (Greiner Bio-One, The Netherlands). 12.000 or 30.000 nylon reference 70 microfibers were mixed with Matrigel and cells prior to seeding. Murine organoid culture 71 medium was maintained on top and refreshed every two days. After 7 days, organoid cultures were digested with 50 caseinolytic units/ml dispase for 30 minutes at 37°C. 72 73 transferred to 15 ml tubes, washed with MACS BSA stock solution and autoMACS rinsing 74 solution (both Miltenyi), and digested further with trypsin (VWR) diluted 1:5 in PBS for 5 minutes at 37°C. The cell suspension was then incubated for 20 minutes at 4°C with anti-75 76 EpCAM microbeads in MACS buffer, after which the suspension was passed through LS 77 columns. Both the EpCAM+ (epithelial cells) and EpCAM- (fibroblasts) cell fractions were 78 used for RNA isolation and subsequent sequencing.

79

80

# Library preparation and RNA sequencing

81 Total RNA was isolated from EpCAM+ and EPCAM- cell fractions using a 82 Maxwell® LEV simply RNA Cells/Tissue kit (Promega, WI, USA) according to 83 manufacturer's instructions. RNA concentrations were determined using a NanoDrop One 84 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Total RNA (300 ng) was used for library 85 preparation. Paired-end sequencing was performed using a NextSeq 500 machine (Illumina; mate 1 up to 74 cycles and mate 2 up to 9 cycles). Mate 1 contained the first STL 86 87 (stochastic labeling) barcode, followed by the first bases of the sequenced fragments, and mate 2 only contained the second STL barcode. The generated data were subsequently 88 89 demultiplexed using sample-specific barcodes and changed into fastq files using bcl2fastq (Illumina; version 1.8.4). The quality of the data was assessed using FastOC (75). The STL 90 barcodes of the first mate were separated from the sequenced fragments using an in-house 91 Perl script. Low quality bases and parts of adapter sequences were removed with Cutadapt 92 93 (version 1.12; settings: q=15, O=5, e=0.1, m=36) (76). Sequenced poly A tails were

94 removed as well, by using a poly T sequence as adapter sequence (T (100); reverse 95 complement after sequencing). Reads shorter than 36 bases were discarded. The trimmed 96 fragment sequences were subsequently aligned to all known murine cDNA sequences using HISAT2 (version 2.1.0; settings: k=1000, --norc). The number of reported alignments, k, 97 was given a high number in order to not miss any alignment results (some genes have up to 98 99 62 transcripts). Reads were only mapped to the forward strand (directional sequencing). Fragment sequences that mapped to multiple genes were removed (unknown origin). When 00 fragments mapped to multiple transcripts from the same gene all but one were given a non-01 02 primary alignment flag by HISAT2 (flag 256). These flags were removed (subtraction of 03 256) by the same Perl script in order to be able to use the Bash-based shell script 04 (dqRNASeq; see below) that is provided by Bioo Scientific (Perkin Elmer, MA, USA). 05 Fragments that mapped to multiple transcripts from the same gene were considered unique and were counted for each of the transcripts. The number of unique fragments (or read 06 pairs) was determined for each transcript using the script provided by Bioo Scientific 07 08 (dqRNASeq; settings: s=8, q=0, m=1). Counts that were used for further analysis were 09 based on a unique combination of start and stop positions and barcodes (USS + STL). The 10 full data set is available as Supplemental Table S3 (Supplemental Material available online; 11 see https://figshare.com/s/26a93797d19154dc418a).

12

# 13 **Data analyses and statistics**

14All statistics were performed with GraphPad Prism 9.0. Nonparametric testing was used to15compare groups in all experiments. For comparison of multiple-groups, a Kruskall wallis or16Friedman test was used for nonpaired or paired nonparametric data respectively with17Dunn's correction for multiple testing. Differences in organoid size between groups were18tested by using the average size of the organoids per independent experiment. Data are19presented as median ± range and p-values <0.05 were considered significant.</td>

20

For RNA sequencing data, the principal component analyses were performed in R using the R package DESeq2 (version 1.26.0) (77) in order to visualize the overall effect of experimental covariates as well as batch effects (function: plotPCA). Differential gene expression analyses (treated vs. nontreated) was performed with the same R package (default settings; Negative Binomial GLM fitting and Wald statistics; design=~condition),

- 26 following standard normalization procedures. Transcripts with differential expression >2
- 27 (nylon-treated versus nontreated fibroblasts or epithelial cells) and a false discovery rate
- 28 smaller than 0.05 (q value) were considered differentially expressed in that specific cell
- 29 type. Volcano plots and clustering heat maps were made using BioJupies (78). Pathway
- 30 analysis was done using Metascape (47).
- 31
- 32

# 33 References

- 34
- J. Gasperi, S. L. Wright, R. Dris, F. Collard, C. Mandin, M. Guerrouache, V. Langlois, F.
   J. Kelly, B. Tassin, Microplastics in air: Are we breathing it in. *Current Opinion in*
- J. Kelly, B. Tassin, Microplastics in air: Are we breathing it in. *Current Opinion in Environmental Science & Health* 1, 1-5 (2018).
- 2. C. M. Rochman, Microplastics research-from sink to source. *Science* **360**, 28-29 (2018).
- 39 3. I. E. Napper, A. Bakir, S. J. Rowland, R. C. Thompson, Characterisation, quantity and
  40 sorptive properties of microplastics extracted from cosmetics. *Mar Pollut Bull* 99, 178-185
  41 (2015).
- 4. A. L. Andrady, Microplastics in the marine environment. *Mar Pollut Bull* 62, 1596-1605
  (2011).
- V. Hidalgo-Ruz, L. Gutow, R. C. Thompson, M. Thiel, Microplastics in the marine
  environment: a review of the methods used for identification and quantification. *Environ Sci Technol* 46, 3060-3075 (2012).
- M. A. Browne, P. Crump, S. J. Niven, E. Teuten, A. Tonkin, T. Galloway, R. Thompson,
   Accumulation of microplastic on shorelines woldwide: sources and sinks. *Environ Sci Technol* 45, 9175-9179 (2011).
- J. C. Prata, J. P. da Costa, I. Lopes, A. C. Duarte, T. Rocha-Santos, Environmental
  exposure to microplastics: An overview on possible human health effects. *Sci Total Environ* 702, 134455 (2020).
- S. L. Wright, F. J. Kelly, Plastic and Human Health: A Micro Issue? *Environ Sci Technol*54 51, 6634-6647 (2017).
- R. Dris, J. Gasperi, C. Mirande, C. Mandin, M. Guerrouache, V. Langlois, B. Tassin, A
  first overview of textile fibers, including microplastics, in indoor and outdoor
  environments. *Environmental Pollution* 221, 453-458 (2017).
- R. Dris, J. Gasperi, M. Saad, C. Mirande, B. Tassin, Synthetic fibers in atmospheric
  fallout: A source of microplastics in the environment? *Mar Pollut Bull* 104, 290-293
  (2016).
- K. Donaldson, D. Brown, A. Clouter, R. Duffin, W. MacNee, L. Renwick, L. Tran, V.
  Stone, The pulmonary toxicology of ultrafine particles. *J Aerosol Med* 15, 213-220 (2002).
- 64 12. G. Oberdorster, E. Oberdorster, J. Oberdorster, Nanotoxicology: an emerging discipline
  65 evolving from studies of ultrafine particles. *Environ Health Perspect* 113, 823-839 (2005).
- S. L. Wright, J. Ulke, A. Font, K. L. A. Chan, F. J. Kelly, Atmospheric microplastic
  deposition in an urban environment and an evaluation of transport. *Environ Int* 136, 105411 (2020).
- J. Pauly, S. Stegmeier, H. Allaart, R. Cheney, P. Zhang, A. Mayer, R. Streck, Inhaled
  cellulosic and plastic fibers found in human lung tissue. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 7, 419-428 (1998).

- J. Burkhart, W. Jones, D. W. Porter, R. M. Washko, W. L. Eschenbacher, R. M. Castellan,
   Hazardous occupational exposure and lung disease among nylon flock workers. *Am J Ind Med* Suppl 1, 145-146 (1999).
- W. L. Eschenbacher, K. Kreiss, M. D. Lougheed, G. S. Pransky, B. Day, R. M. Castellan,
  Nylon flock-associated interstitial lung disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 159, 20032008 (1999).
- 78 17. S. R. Goldyn, R. Condos, W. N. Rom, The burden of exposure-related diffuse lung disease. *Semin Respir Crit Care Med* 29, 591-602 (2008).
- B. B. G. Kern, R. S. Crausman, K. T. Durand, A. Nayer, C. Kuhn, 3rd, Flock worker's lung:
  chronic interstitial lung disease in the nylon flocking industry. *Ann Intern Med* 129, 261272 (1998).
- M. Shuchman, Secrecy in science: the flock worker's lung investigation. *Ann Intern Med* **129**, 341-344 (1998).
- S. E. Turcotte, A. Chee, R. Walsh, F. C. Grant, G. M. Liss, A. Boag, L. Forkert, P. W.
  Munt, M. D. Lougheed, Flock worker's lung disease: natural history of cases and exposed
  workers in Kingston, Ontario. *Chest* 143, 1642-1648 (2013).
- R. M. Washko, B. Day, J. E. Parker, R. M. Castellan, K. Kreiss, Epidemiologic
  investigation of respiratory morbidity at a nylon flock plant. *Am J Ind Med* 38, 628-638
  (2000).
- J. C. Pimentel, R. Avila, A. G. Lourenço, Respiratory disease caused by synthetic fibres: a new occupational disease. *Thorax* 30, 204-219 (1975).
- E. M. Cordasco, S. L. Demeter, J. Kerkay, H. S. Van Ordstrand, E. V. Lucas, T. Chen, J.
  A. Golish, Pulmonary manifestations of vinyl and polyvinyl chloride (interstitial lung disease). Newer aspects. *Chest* 78, 828-834 (1980).
- P. J. Kole, A. J. Löhr, F. Van Belleghem, A. M. J. Ragas, Wear and Tear of Tyres: A
  Stealthy Source of Microplastics in the Environment. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 14, (2017).
- 25. C. E. Enyoh, A. W. Verla, E. N. Verla, F. C. Ibe, C. E. Amaobi, Airborne microplastics: a review study on method for analysis, occurrence, movement and risks. *Environ Monit Assess* 191, 668 (2019).
- L. F. Amato-Lourenço, L. Dos Santos Galvão, L. A. de Weger, P. S. Hiemstra, M. G.
  Vijver, T. Mauad, An emerging class of air pollutants: Potential effects of microplastics to
  respiratory human health? *Sci Total Environ* 749, 141676 (2020).
- G. Favarato, H. R. Anderson, R. Atkinson, G. Fuller, I. Mills, H. Walton, Traffic-related
   pollution and asthma prevalence in children. Quantification of associations with nitrogen
   dioxide. *Air Qual Atmos Health* 7, 459-466 (2014).
- M. Guarnieri, J. R. Balmes, Outdoor air pollution and asthma. *Lancet* 383, 1581-1592 (2014).
- C. A. Keet, J. P. Keller, R. D. Peng, Long-Term Coarse Particulate Matter Exposure Is
   Associated with Asthma among Children in Medicaid. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 197,
   737-746 (2018).
- 30. W. W. h. Organisation), *Microplastics in drinking-water* (World health Organisation,
   Geneva, 2019), vol. CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.
- 15 31. S. A. f. P. b. E. A. SAPEA, A scientific perspective on microplastics in nature and society
   (SAPEA, Berlin, 2019).
- J. P. Ng-Blichfeldt, A. Schrik, R. K. Kortekaas, J. A. Noordhoek, I. H. Heijink, P. S.
  Hiemstra, J. Stolk, M. Königshoff, R. Gosens, Retinoic acid signaling balances adult distal
  lung epithelial progenitor cell growth and differentiation. *EBioMedicine*, (2018).
- 20 33. J. P. Ng-Blichfeldt, T. de Jong, R. K. Kortekaas, X. Wu, M. Lindner, V. Guryev, P. S.
- 21 Hiemstra, J. Stolk, M. Königshoff, R. Gosens, TGF- $\beta$  activation impairs fibroblast ability

22		to support adult lung epithelial progenitor cell organoid formation. Am J Physiol Lung	
23		<i>Cell Mol Physiol</i> <b>317</b> , L14-128 (2019).	
24	34.	M. Cole, A novel method for preparing microplastic fibers. Scientific Reports 6, (2016).	
25	35.	E. Gaston, M. Woo, C. Steele, S. Sukumaran, S. Anderson, Microplastics Differ Between	
26		Indoor and Outdoor Air Masses: Insights from Multiple Microscopy Methodologies. <i>Appl</i>	
27		Spectrosc 74, 1079-1098 (2020).	
28	36.	S. O'Brien, E. D. Okoffo, J. W. O'Brien, F. Ribeiro, X. Wang, S. L. Wright, S.	
29		Samanipour, C. Rauert, T. Y. A. Toapanta, R. Albarracin, K. V. Thomas, Airborne	
30		emissions of microplastic fibres from domestic laundry dryers. Sci Total Environ 747.	
31		141175 (2020).	
32	37	I Zhang L. Wang K. Kannan Microplastics in house dust from 12 countries and	
33	57.	associated human exposure <i>Environ Int</i> <b>134</b> 105314 (2020)	
34	38	O Zhang V Zhao F Du H Cai G Wang H Shi Micronlastic Fallout in Different	
35	50.	Indoor Environments Environ Sci Technol 54 6530-6539 (2020)	
36	20	P. I. Diffoy. An evention analysis of the time needle spend outdoors. Br. I. Darmatel 164	
50 27	39.	D. L. Diffey, All overview analysis of the time people spend outdoors. <i>Dr J Dermator</i> 104,	
) / ) 0	40	040-034 (2011). TIMA (Thermal Insulation Manufacturers Association) Manunada Vituorus Eihous	
20	40.	New evolutions, Chamical and Division Programming W. Easter Ed (New evolutions)	
39		Nomenciature, Chemical and Physical Properties W. Eastes Ed (Nomenciature	
40		Committee of Thermal Insulation Manufacturers Association, Refractory Ceramic Fibers	
41	4.1	Coalition (RCFC), Washington, DC, ed. 4th, 1993).	
42	41.	M. C. Basil, J. Katzen, A. E. Engler, M. Guo, M. J. Herriges, J. J. Kathiriya, R.	
43		Windmueller, A. B. Ysasi, W. J. Zacharias, H. A. Chapman, D. N. Kotton, J. R. Rock, H.	
44		W. Snoeck, G. Vunjak-Novakovic, J. A. Whitsett, E. E. Morrisey, The Cellular and	
45		Physiological Basis for Lung Repair and Regeneration: Past, Present, and Future. Cell	
46		<i>Stem Cell</i> <b>26</b> , 482-502 (2020).	
47	42.	B. Hogan, C. Barkauskas, H. Chapman, J. Epstein, R. Jain, C. Hsia, L. Niklason, E. Calle,	
48		A. Le, S. Randell, J. Rock, M. Snitow, M. Krummel, B. Stripp, T. Vu, E. White, J.	
49		Whitsett, E. Morrisey, Repair and regeneration of the respiratory system: complexity,	
50		plasticity, and mechanisms of lung stem cell function. Cell Stem Cell 15, 123-138 (2014).	
51	43.	C. E. Barkauskas, M. I. Chung, B. Fioret, X. Gao, H. Katsura, B. L. Hogan, Lung	
52		organoids: current uses and future promise. Development 144, 986-997 (2017).	
53	44.	Y. Abe, M. Mutsuga, H. Ohno, Y. Kawamura, H. Akiyama, Isolation and Quantification	
54		of Polyamide Cyclic Oligomers in Kitchen Utensils and Their Migration into Various	
55		Food Simulants. PLoS One 11, e0159547 (2016).	
56	45.	S. T. L. Sait, L. Sørensen, S. Kubowicz, K. Vike-Jonas, S. V. Gonzalez, A. G.	
57		Asimakopoulos, A. M. Booth, Microplastic fibres from synthetic textiles: Environmental	
58		degradation and additive chemical content. Environ Pollut 268, 115745 (2021).	
59	46.	L. Sørensen, A. S. Groven, I. A. Hovsbakken, O. Del Puerto, D. F. Krause, A. Sarno, A.	
60		M. Booth, UV degradation of natural and synthetic microfibers causes fragmentation and	
61		release of polymer degradation products and chemical additives. Sci Total Environ 755.	
62		143170 (2021).	
63	47	Y Zhou B Zhou L Pache M Chang A H Khodabakhshi O Tanaseichuk C Benner	
64	.,.	S K Chanda Metascape provides a biologist-oriented resource for the analysis of	
65		systems-level datasets Nat Commun 10 1523 (2019)	
66	48	C Di Sano C D'Anna M Ferraro G Chiannara C Sangiorgi S Di Vincenzo A	
67	-0 <b>.</b>	Bertani P. Vitulo A. Bruno P. Dino F. Pace. Impaired activation of Notch-1 signaling	
68		hinders repair processes of bronchial enithelial cells exposed to cigarette smoke. Toxical	
69		Lott 326 61-69 (2020)	
70	40	V Xing A Li 7 Borok C Li P Minoo NOTCH1 is required for regeneration of Clare	
71	чγ.	cells during repair of airway injury Stam Calls <b>30</b> 9/6-055 (2012)	
/ 1		$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}$	

- 50. D. Lafkas, A. Shelton, C. Chiu, G. de Leon Boenig, Y. Chen, S. S. Stawicki, C. Siltanen,
  M. Reichelt, M. Zhou, X. Wu, J. Eastham-Anderson, H. Moore, M. Roose-Girma, Y.
  Chinn, J. Q. Hang, S. Warming, J. Egen, W. P. Lee, C. Austin, Y. Wu, J. Payandeh, J. B.
  Lowe, C. W. Siebel, Therapeutic antibodies reveal Notch control of transdifferentiation in
  the adult lung. *Nature* 528, 127-131 (2015).
- A. Sountoulidis, A. Stavropoulos, S. Giaglis, E. Apostolou, R. Monteiro, S. M. Chuva de
  Sousa Lopes, H. Chen, B. R. Stripp, C. Mummery, E. Andreakos, P. Sideras, Activation of
  the canonical bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) pathway during lung morphogenesis
  and adult lung tissue repair. *PLoS One* 7, e41460 (2012).
- 52. C. Dean, M. Ito, H. P. Makarenkova, S. C. Faber, R. A. Lang, Bmp7 regulates branching
  morphogenesis of the lacrimal gland by promoting mesenchymal proliferation and
  condensation. *Development* 131, 4155-4165 (2004).
- S3. Q. Tan, X. Y. Ma, W. Liu, J. A. Meridew, D. L. Jones, A. J. Haak, D. Sicard, G. Ligresti,
  D. J. Tschumperlin, Nascent Lung Organoids Reveal Epithelium- and Bone
  Morphogenetic Protein-mediated Suppression of Fibroblast Activation. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* 61, 607-619 (2019).
- A. Caprioli, A. Villasenor, L. A. Wylie, C. Braitsch, L. Marty-Santos, D. Barry, C. M.
  Karner, S. Fu, S. M. Meadows, T. J. Carroll, O. Cleaver, Wnt4 is essential to normal
  mammalian lung development. *Dev Biol* 406, 222-234 (2015).
- 55. E. M. M. Abdelwahab, J. Rapp, D. Feller, V. Csongei, S. Pal, D. Bartis, D. R. Thickett, J.
  E. Pongracz, Wnt signaling regulates trans-differentiation of stem cell like type 2 alveolar
  epithelial cells to type 1 epithelial cells. *Respir Res* 20, 204 (2019).
- 56. N. R. Genuth, M. Barna, The Discovery of Ribosome Heterogeneity and Its Implications
  for Gene Regulation and Organismal Life. *Mol Cell* **71**, 364-374 (2018).
- 57. N. Kondrashov, A. Pusic, C. R. Stumpf, K. Shimizu, A. C. Hsieh, J. Ishijima, T. Shiroishi,
  M. Barna, Ribosome-mediated specificity in Hox mRNA translation and vertebrate tissue
  patterning. *Cell* 145, 383-397 (2011).
- 58. O. Boucherat, J. Chakir, L. Jeannotte, The loss of Hoxa5 function promotes Notch dependent goblet cell metaplasia in lung airways. *Biol Open* 1, 677-691 (2012).
- 59. T. Yoshimi, N. Nakamura, S. Shimada, K. Iguchi, F. Hashimoto, K. Mochitate, Y.
  Takahashi, T. Miura, Homeobox B3, FoxA1 and FoxA2 interactions in epithelial lung cell differentiation of the multipotent M3E3/C3 cell line. *Eur J Cell Biol* 84, 555-566 (2005).
- A. Vianello, R. L. Jensen, L. Liu, J. Vollertsen, Simulating human exposure to indoor
   airborne microplastics using a Breathing Thermal Manikin. *Sci Rep* 9, 8670 (2019).
- P. N. Tsao, F. Chen, K. I. Izvolsky, J. Walker, M. A. Kukuruzinska, J. Lu, W. V. Cardoso,
  Gamma-secretase activation of notch signaling regulates the balance of proximal and
  distal fates in progenitor cells of the developing lung. *J Biol Chem* 283, 29532-29544
  (2008).
- J. S. Guseh, S. A. Bores, B. Z. Stanger, Q. Zhou, W. J. Anderson, D. A. Melton, J.
   Rajagopal, Notch signaling promotes airway mucous metaplasia and inhibits alveolar
   development. *Development* 136, 1751-1759 (2009).
- M. Morimoto, R. Nishinakamura, Y. Saga, R. Kopan, Different assemblies of Notch
  receptors coordinate the distribution of the major bronchial Clara, ciliated and
  neuroendocrine cells. *Development* 139, 4365-4373 (2012).
- M. Sauler, M. Gulati, Newly recognized occupational and environmental causes of chronic terminal airways and parenchymal lung disease. *Clin Chest Med* 33, 667-680 (2012).
- D. W. Porter, V. Castranova, V. A. Robinson, A. F. Hubbs, R. R. Mercer, J. Scabilloni, T. Goldsmith, D. Schwegler-Berry, L. Battelli, R. Washko, J. Burkhart, C. Piacitelli, M.
- 21 Whitmer, W. Jones, Acute inflammatory reaction in rats after intratracheal instillation of

22		material collected from a nylon flocking plant. J Toxicol Environ Health A 57, 25-45
23		(1999).
24 25	66.	D. B. Warheit, T. R. Webb, K. L. Reed, J. F. Hansen, G. L. Kennedy, Jr., Four-week inhalation toxicity study in rats with nylon respirable fibers: rapid lung clearance.
26		<i>Toxicology</i> <b>192</b> , 189-210 (2003).
27	67.	A. T. Branco, B. Lemos, High intake of dietary sugar enhances bisphenol A (BPA)
28		disruption and reveals ribosome-mediated pathways of toxicity. <i>Genetics</i> <b>197</b> , 147-157
29		(2014).
30	68.	Y. Hu, J. P. Ng-Blichfeldt, C. Ota, C. Ciminieri, W. Ren, P. S. Hiemstra, J. Stolk, R.
31		Gosens, M. Königshoff, Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling is critical for regenerative potential of
32		distal lung epithelial progenitor cells in homeostasis and emphysema. Stem Cells 38,
33 34	69	I H I ee F I Rawlins Developmental mechanisms and adult stem cells for therapeutic
35	07.	lung regeneration. <i>Dev Biol</i> <b>433</b> , 166-176 (2018).
36	70.	G. Oberdörster, Toxicokinetics and effects of fibrous and nonfibrous particles. <i>Inhal</i>
37		<i>Toxicol</i> <b>14</b> , 29-56 (2002).
38	71.	L. Florez-Sampedro, S. Song, B. Melgert, The diversity of myeloid immune cells shaping
39		wound repair and fibrosis in the lung. Regeneration (Oxf) 5, 3-25 (2018).
40	72.	J. Choi, J. E. Park, G. Tsagkogeorga, M. Yanagita, B. K. Koo, N. Han, J. H. Lee,
41		Inflammatory Signals Induce AT2 Cell-Derived Damage-Associated Transient
42		Progenitors that Mediate Alveolar Regeneration. Cell Stem Cell 27, 366-382.e367 (2020).
43	73.	R. R. Mercer, M. L. Russell, V. L. Roggli, J. D. Crapo, Cell number and distribution in
44	74	human and rat airways. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 10, 613-624 (1994).
45 46	/4.	A. Ragusa, A. Svelato, C. Santacroce, P. Catalano, V. Notarstelano, O. Carnevali, F. Papa,
+0 47		M. C. A. Kongioleui, F. Balocco, S. Dragili, E. D'Amore, D. Kinaido, M. Maita, E. Giorgini Plasticenta: First evidence of microplastics in human placenta. <i>Environ Int</i> 146
		106274 (2021)
49	75.	S. Andrew. (2010).
50	76.	M. Martin, Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.
51		2011 17, 3 (2011).
52	77.	M. I. Love, W. Huber, S. Anders, Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
53		RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 15, 550 (2014).
54	78.	D. Torre, A. Lachmann, A. Ma'ayan, BioJupies: Automated Generation of Interactive
55	-0	Notebooks for RNA-Seq Data Analysis in the Cloud. <i>Cell Syst</i> 7, 556-561.e553 (2018).
56	/9.	P. Peets, I. Leito, J. Pelt, S. Vahur, Identification and classification of textile fibres using
)/ 50		A I R-F I-IR spectroscopy with chemometric methods. Spectrochim Acta A Mol Biomol Spectrosci <b>173</b> , 175, 181 (2017)
20 50		<i>Spectrosc</i> <b>1</b> / <b>3</b> , 1/3-181 (2017).
<i></i>		
60		
51	Ackn	owledgments
62		General: The authors thank Habibie (University of Groningen, Department of Molecular
63		Pharmacology) for his help with the human organoid experiments, Imco Sibum, Paul
64		Hagedoorn, and Anko Eissens (University of Groningen, Department of Pharmaceutical
65		Technology and Biopharmacy) for their assistance at the scanning electron microscope,
66		Andreas W. Ehlers (University of Amsterdam, Van 't Hoff Institute for Molecular
67		Sciences) for his assistance with the NMR spectroscopy, and Elena Höppener (TNO,

68	Department Environmental Modeling Sensing and Analysis) for the energy dispersive X-
69	ray and infrared spectroscopy analysis of the microfibers.
70	
71	Funding: ZonMW is gratefully acknowledged for their financial support with
72	Microplastics and Health grant 458001013.
73	
74	Author contributions:
75	FD: Study design, collection and assembly of data, data analysis and interpretation,
76	manuscript writing, critical reading and revision.
77	SS: Collection and assembly of data, data analysis and interpretation, critical reading and
78	revision.
79	GE: Collection and assembly of data, data analysis and interpretation, critical reading and
80	revision.
81	XW: Collection and assembly of data, data analysis and interpretation, critical reading and
82	revision.
83	IB: Collection and assembly of data, critical reading and revision.
84	DB: Collection and assembly of data, data analysis and interpretation, experimental
85	material support, critical reading and revision.
86	IK: Collection and assembly of data, data analysis and interpretation, experimental
87	material support, critical reading and revision.
88	DS: Collection and assembly of data, data analysis and interpretation, experimental
89	material support, critical reading and revision.
90	RW: Collection and assembly of data, data analysis and interpretation, experimental
91	material support, critical reading and revision.
92	MC: Collection and assembly of data, data analysis and interpretation, experimental
93	material support, critical reading and revision.
94	AS: Data analysis and interpretation, critical reading and revision.
95	RG: Data analysis and interpretation, study design, experimental material support, critical
96	reading and revision.

97	BM: Collection and assembly of data, study design, data analysis and interpretation,
98	financial support, manuscript writing, critical reading and revision.
99	
00	Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.
01	
02	Data and materials availability: All data needed to evaluate the conclusions in the paper are
03	present in the paper and/or the Supplementary Materials. Additional data related to this
04	paper may be requested from the authors.
05	
06	
07	

#### 08 Supplementary Materials and methods

09

#### 10 Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy

Samples were prepared for energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy analysis on an
 aluminium sample holder using adhesive carbon coated tape. Excessive microfibers were
 removed using pressurized air, after which the samples were sputter coated with 10 nm of
 carbon. The EDX measurements were performed with a Tescan MAIA III GMH field
 emission scanning electron microscope (Czech Republic) equipped with a Bruker X-Flash
 30 mm<sup>2</sup> silicon drift energy dispersive X-ray microanalysis detector (MA, USA).

17

#### 18 Micro-Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy

19 Micro-Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (uFTIR) measurements were performed 20 using a Thermo Nicolet iN10 micro Fourier transform infrared microscope. Spectra were recorded in the wavelength range from 4000 to 675 cm<sup>-1</sup> using a spectral resolution of 8 21 22 cm<sup>-1</sup>. For the transmission measurements of the polyester reference material and the polyester and nylon environmental fibers, a small amount of the microfibers was 23 24 transferred onto a diamond micro compression cell where the samples were compressed. 25 For the reflection measurements of the nylon reference material and the polyester and 26 nylon environmental fibers, a small portion of microfibers was suspended in water. The 27 suspension was subsequently filtered over a gold coated 0.8 µm polycarbonate filter (TJ 28 Environmental, The Netherlands). A subset of approximately 100 fibers was individually 29 measured directly on the filter using the reflection mode of the µ-FTIR equipment.

30

#### 31 Extraction of nylon oligomers (mono-, di- and trimer)

A round bottom flask containing 25.1 g cryogenically milled nylon powder (PA66, Sigma-Aldrich) and 500 ml methanol (VWR) was equipped with a reflux condenser and the suspension was stirred overnight at 50°C. Next, the suspension was cooled to approximately 30°C and filtered over a cellulose filter (VWR) to remove the remaining powder. The solvent was removed *in vacuo* using a rotary evaporator (Büchi rotavapor R-215, Switzerland). A white solid was obtained (yield 220 mg), of which the composition was determined using liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry (LC/MS) analysis.

## 39 Isolation of nylon oligomers (mono-, di- and trimer)

- 40 A column for silica gel chromatography (Ø 30 mm, VWR) was charged with silica gel 60 (27 g, 0.063-0.200 mm, Merck) and dichloromethane (DCM, VWR) as eluent. The crude 41 42 extract containing the mixture of oligomers (200 mg) was added on top of the silica gel 43 column and oligomers were separated on the column using DCM:methanol as eluent 44 (DCM:MeOH gradient:  $100:0 \rightarrow 90:10 \rightarrow 80:20$ ), which resulted in complete separation of the oligomers. The collected fractions were checked for the presence of product using 45 46 LC/MS. The fractions containing pure oligomer were combined, filtered over a glass filter 47 (VWR) and subsequently the solvent was removed in vacuo. The obtained solids were further dried *in vacuo*, after which the pure oligomers were obtained as white solids. The 48 49 structure of the oligomers was confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy.
- 50

## 51 Liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry

## 52 *Qualitative analysis of nylon leachate and oligomers*

53 Oualitative analysis of nylon oligomers was performed with an Agilent 1260 series high-54 performance liquid chromatographer (CA, USA) equipped with a 100 x 2 mm, 3 µm 55 Gemini NX-C18 110 Å LC Column (Phenomenex, Utrecht, The Netherlands), coupled 56 with an Agilent 6410 triple quadrupole LC/MS with electron spray ionization (ESI) in 57 positive SCAN mode. In addition, the LC/MS analysis of the nylon leachate was performed with the Agilent 1260 liquid chromatographer coupled to an Agilent 6460 triple 58 59 quadrupole LC/MS with Jetstream ESI in positive SCAN mode. A sample volume of 5 µl 60 was injected with a column temperature of 60 °C and a flow rate of 200  $\mu$ l min<sup>-1</sup>. The sample was eluted with a gradient of Milli-Q water (containing 5 mM ammonium formate 61 with 0.0025% formic acid (both Sigma-Aldrich), eluent A) and methanol (containing 5 62 63 mM ammonium formate with 0.0025% formic acid, eluent B) with a flow rate of 0.5 ml min<sup>-1</sup>. Eluent B was increased from 10% to 90% in 10 minutes and maintained for 3 64 minutes. After this, eluent B was decreased to 10% in 0.1 minute and maintained for 1.9 65 66 minute to complete the cycle of 15 minutes. Mass spectrometry was performed with a gas temperature of 350°C and a flow rate of 10 l min<sup>-1</sup>. Stealth gas temperature (for Agilent 67 6460) was set at 400 °C with a flow rate of 12 1 min<sup>-1</sup>. The capillary voltage was set at 68 69 4000 V.

## 71 Direct injection of nylon leachate

An injection volume of 10 µl diluted nylon leachate was directly injected into an Agilent
6410 triple quadrupole MS system with ESI in positive SCAN mode. The conditions were
as follows: gas temperature 350 °C, flow rate 10 l min<sup>-1</sup>, mobile phase 50:50 ratio of 80:20
acetonitrile (VWR):Milli-Q water with 5 mM ammonium formate and 10:90
acetonitrile:Milli-Q water with 5 mM ammonium formate, scan range 50–1000 Da,
capillary voltage 3500 V.

78

#### 79 <sup>1</sup>H Nuclear magnetic resonance

- 80 The chemical structure of the oligomers was confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy (Bruker 81 Avance 400 spectrometer). The oligomers were dissolved in ~0.5 ml CD<sub>3</sub>OD:CDCl<sub>3</sub> (1:1) 82 (Sigma-Aldrich). The spectra were recorded at 24 °C, and internally referenced to the
- 83 residual solvent resonance (CD<sub>3</sub>OD: <sup>1</sup>H  $\delta$  3.31).
- 84 <u>Nylon monomer</u>, yield = 77 mg. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD/CDCl<sub>3</sub> 50:50, 297 K)  $\delta$  =
- 85 7.56 (br. m, 2H; NHCO), 3.22 (m, 4H, NHCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.19 (m, 4H, COCH<sub>2</sub>), 1.63 (m, 4H,
  86 COCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.54 (m, 4H, NHCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.32 (m, 4H, NH (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>).
- 87 Nylon dimer, yield = 74 mg. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD/CDCl<sub>3</sub> 50:50, 297 K)  $\delta$  =
- 88 7.68 (br. m, 4H; N*H*CO), 3.15 (t, 8H, NHC*H*<sub>2</sub>), 2.18 (m, 8H, COC*H*<sub>2</sub>), 1.60 (m, 8H,
- 89 COCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.47 (m, 8H, NHCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.31 (m, 8H, NH (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>).
- 90 <u>Nylon trimer</u>, yield = 16 mg. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD/CDCl<sub>3</sub> 50:50, 297 K)  $\delta$  =
- 91 7.74 (br. m, 6H; NHCO), 3.14 (t, 12H, NHCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.18 (m, 12H, COCH<sub>2</sub>), 1.60 (m, 12H,
- 92 COCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.48 (m, 12H, NHCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.32 (m, 12H, NH (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>).
- 93
- 94

# 95 Supplementary tables and figures

## 96

## 97 **Table S1.** Size characteristics of polyester and nylon reference microfibers.

	Microfiber size Diameter x length (μm)	
	Polyester	Nylon
25% percentile	14x50	11x29
Median	15x52	12x31
75% percentile	15x53	12x32
Minimum	13x22	9x24
Maximum	18x64	14x74



02 Figure S1: Characterization of the reference microfibers using energy dispersive X-ray 03 - and infrared spectroscopy. (A) EDX spectrum of polyester, confirming the presence of 04 carbon (C) and oxygen (O), and additionally revealing the presence of titanium (Ti), 05 which can be ascribed to small TiO2 pigment particles used as filler material in these 06 fibers. (**B**)  $\mu$ FTIR spectrum of polyester with characteristic absorbance peaks (2968 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 07 C-H stretch; 1723 cm<sup>-1</sup>, C=O stretch; 1246 cm<sup>-1</sup>, C-O stretch aromatic ester; 729 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 08 benzene derivative (79)). (C) EDX spectrum of nylon, confirming the presence of carbon 09 (C), nitrogen (N) and oxygen (O). (D)  $\mu$ FTIR spectrum of nvlon with characteristic nvlon absorbance peaks (3302 cm<sup>-1</sup>, N-H stretch; 2934 cm<sup>-1</sup>, C-H stretch; 1632 cm<sup>-1</sup>, C=O 10 stretch sec. amide; 1202 cm<sup>-1</sup>, C-N bend (79)). 11

12





# Table S2. Size characteristics of polyester and nylon environmental microfibers.

	Microfiber size Diameter x length (μm)	
	Environmental polyester	Environmental nylon
25% percentile	15x54	46x15
Median	17x63	57x20
75% percentile	18x85	73x27
Minimum	8x30	17x8
Maximum	24x269	296x66



Figure S3: Characterization of the environmental microfibers using energy dispersive
 X-ray - and infrared spectroscopy. EDX - and μ-FTIR spectra of (A and B) polyester and
 (C and D) nylon microfibers.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.25.428144; this version posted February 1, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Figure S4: Characterization of the components leaching from nylon reference microfibers and their effect on organoid growth. (A) Mass spectrometry spectrum of the nylon leachate, revealing high amounts of cyclic nylon mono-, di- and trimers, as well as other smaller peaks. (**B** and **C**) Assessment of the numbers of airway and alveolar organoids and (**D** and **E**) their sizes (n=2 independent isolations).

37 38

30

31

32

33

34

35



39 40

41

42

43

Figure S5: Expression of individual genes in fibroblasts isolated from organoid cultures

exposed to nylon microfibers. (A) Genes associated with proliferation of fibroblasts. (B)

Genes encoding factors produced by fibroblasts important for epithelial development

(n=4 independent isolations). 2k=2000 fibers, 5k=5000 fibers